SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA MATERIAL for Supplemental Packet 2

Meeting Date: March 10, 2020

Item Number: 27

Item Description: Surveillance Technology Report, Surveillance Acquisition Report, and Surveillance Use Policy for Body Worn Cameras

Submitted by: David White, Deputy City Manager

Attached please find the following:

- Surveillance Technology Report: Body Worn Cameras (part of the original submittal)
- Revised Policy 1300 Body Worn Camera Surveillance Use Policy
- Policy 1300(a) Appendix: Body Worn Camera Acquisition Report (part of the original submittal)
- Revised Body Worn Cameras Policy (Policy 425)
- Revised Resolution

In accordance with Chapter 2.99 to the Berkeley Municipal Code, which is also known as the Surveillance Technology Use and Community Safety Ordinance (“Ordinance”), Surveillance Technology Reports, Surveillance Acquisition Reports, and Surveillance Use Policies were submitted for Automatic License Plate readers, GPS Trackers, and Body Worn Cameras. City Council approved the Surveillance Technology Report, Surveillance Acquisition Report, and Surveillance Use Policy for GPS Trackers and delayed consideration on Body Worn Cameras to March 10 and Automatic License Plate Readers to April 14.

Subsequent to submittal of the Surveillance Technology Report, Surveillance Acquisition Report, and Surveillance Use Policy for Body Worn Cameras in November 2019, City staff have received input from City Council and have accordingly revised Policy 1300 Body Worn Camera Surveillance Use Policy and the Body Worn Cameras Policy (Policy 425). The following highlights some of the changes made to Policy 1300 Body Worn Camera Surveillance Use Policy:
- Section 1300.4.1.d. A sentence has been added to the end of the paragraph confirming that the original statement and supplemental statement or document produced or submitted by an officer are included in the report.

- Section 1300.8. The revised Section 1300.8 encompasses both Public Access and Third Party Data sharing. In the previous version, these two sections were separated, which created some confusion. The revised Section 1300.8 limits the sharing of data to law enforcement agencies and removes references to the sharing of data with “national security, military, or other government agencies”. Also, the revised Section 1300.8 clarifies that media access to recorded files is subject to the review of the City Attorney’s Office.

- Section 1300.4.4 -- In cases where body worn camera footage is being used for training outside of the Berkeley Police Department, images of members of the public shall be redacted or blurred.

With respect to the Body Worn Cameras Policy (Policy 425), the suggested revisions have been inserted to ensure that Policy 425 conforms to the suggested revisions in Policy 1300 Body Worn Camera Surveillance Use Policy.

Finally, because actions on GPS Trackers, Automatic License Plate Readers, and Body Worn Cameras are now being taken separately, the attached resolution has been prepared for City Council to take action solely on Body Worn Cameras.
Surveillance Technology Report: Body Worn Cameras

March 1, 2018 – Sept. 30, 2019

Description
A description of all non-privileged and non-confidential information about use of the Surveillance Technology, including but not limited to the quantity of data gathered and sharing of data, if any, with outside entities. If sharing has occurred, the report shall include general, non-privileged and non-confidential information about recipient entities, including the names of the entities and purposes for such sharing.

Body Worn Cameras are used to capture video recordings of contacts between department personnel and the public, to provide an objective record of these events. These recordings are used in support of criminal prosecutions, to limit civil liability, increase transparency and enhance professionalism and accountability in the delivery of police services to the community.

Body Worn Camera files are shared with the Alameda County District Attorney’s office in support of prosecution for crime, and may be shared with other law enforcement agencies to support criminal investigations.

Summary of Body Worn Camera Videos Uploaded
March 1, 2018 to Sept. 30, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Number of Videos</th>
<th>42,677</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Hours of Videos</td>
<td>10,681.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total GB of Videos</td>
<td>20,669.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary of All Evidence Created
March 1, 2018 to Sept. 30, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Count of files</th>
<th>Size (in Mb)</th>
<th>GBs Storage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audio</td>
<td>2,315</td>
<td>23,855.82</td>
<td>23.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>17.56</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image</td>
<td>64,931</td>
<td>270,329.62</td>
<td>270.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>118,080.19</td>
<td>118.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videos*</td>
<td>70,670</td>
<td>32,489,190.50</td>
<td>32,489.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Totals</td>
<td>138,937</td>
<td>32,901,473.69</td>
<td>32,901.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes all uploaded BWC videos and all other videos booked into the evidence management system. Other videos include iPhone videos uploaded, security camera video, copies of BWC videos (for redaction, etc.), and any other videos.

Geographic Deployment
Where applicable, non-privileged and non-confidential information about where the surveillance technology was deployed geographically.

Body Worn Cameras are worn by all BPD uniformed officers city-wide at all times; BWCs are not deployed based on geographic considerations.

Complaints
A summary of each complaint, if any, received by the City about the Surveillance Technology.

There have been no complaints about the deployment and use of Body Worn Cameras.
| Audits and Violations | The results of any non-privileged internal audits, any information about violations or potential violations of the Surveillance Use Policy, and any actions taken in response.  
File meta-data are routinely reviewed by our BWC manager, to ensure required meta-data fields are completed. There have been no complaints with regards to violations of the Surveillance Use Policy. |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Data Breaches        | Non-privileged and non-confidential information about any data breaches or other unauthorized access to the data collected by the surveillance technology, including information about the scope of the breach and the actions taken in response.  
There have been no known data breaches or other unauthorized access to BWC data. |
| Effectiveness        | Information that helps the community assess whether the Surveillance Technology has been effective in achieving its identified outcomes.  
Body Worn Cameras have proven effective in supporting criminal prosecutions, as video footage is available for all criminal prosecutions.  
Body Worn Cameras have been effective for training purposes, as footage can be reviewed in incident de-briefs.  
Body Worn Cameras have been extremely effective in support of Internal Affairs investigations and Use of Force Review. |
| Costs                | Total annual costs for the Surveillance Technology, including personnel and other ongoing costs.  
The annual cost for the Body Worn Cameras, including cameras, replacement cameras, software, and Axon’s secure digital evidence management system is approx. $204,000 per year over a five-year, $1,218,000 contract. The systems cost for the 19 month period of this initial report was $385,700.  
There is one full-time employee assigned to the BWC program, an Applications Programmer Analyst II, at a cost of $168,940 per year, including benefits. |
1300.1 PURPOSE
This Surveillance Use Policy is issued in compliance and fulfills the requirements of with Berkeley Municipal Code (BMC) Chapter 2.99, and incorporates by reference language from the Berkeley Police Department Body Worn Camera Policy #425-Body Worn Cameras and adds elements as required by BMC Chapter 2.99. Guidelines, procedures, and practices for the use of Body Worn Cameras by members of the Berkeley Police Department while in performance of their duties are fully set forth in the Berkeley Police Department Law Enforcement Manual Policy 425-Body Worn Cameras.

The Berkeley Police Department recognizes that video recording of contacts between department personnel and the public provides an objective record of these events, and that the use of a recording system complements field personnel in the performance of their duties by providing a video record of enforcement and investigative field contacts, which can enhance criminal prosecutions, limit civil liability, increase transparency, and enhance professionalism in the delivery of police services to the community. A video recording of an event or contact also enables the delivery of timely, relevant, and appropriate training to maximize safety for both community members and BPD personnel. (Ref. policy 425.2)

1300.2 AUTHORIZED USE
This policy is not intended to describe every possible situation in which the BWC should be used. Members shall activate the BWC as required by this policy in (a)-(f) below, and may activate the BWC at any time the member believes it would be appropriate or valuable to record an incident within the limits of privacy described herein.

The BWC shall be activated in any of the following situations:

(a) All in-person enforcement and investigative contacts including pedestrian stops and field interview (FI) situations.
(b) Traffic stops including, but not limited to, traffic violations, stranded motorist assistance and all crime interdiction stops.
(c) Self-initiated field contacts in which a member would normally notify the Communications Center.
(d) Any search activity, including the service of search or arrest warrants; probation, parole, or consent searches where the member is seeking evidence of an offense, or conducting a safety sweep or community caretaking sweep of the premises. Once a location has been secured and the member is not interacting with detainees or arrestees, the member may mute their BWC when conducting a search for evidence.
(e) Any other contact that the member determines has become adversarial after the initial contact in a situation where the member would not otherwise activate BWC recording.

(f) Transporting any detained or arrested person and where a member facilitates entry into or out of a vehicle, or any time the member expects to have physical contact with that person.

At no time is a member expected to jeopardize his or her safety in order to activate a BWC. The BWC should be activated by members in anticipation of situations described above, and in any unanticipated, rapidly unfolding situation where activation becomes required, as soon as the member can do so safely.

Members should activate their BWC when conducting custodial interviews unless there are other recording devices being used. Members shall document and explain in their report the reason for not recording custodial interviews, should a BWC be de-activated while conducting a custodial interview or interrogation. (Ref. policy 425.7)

1300.2.1 PROHIBITED USE
Members are prohibited from using a department-issued BWC for personal use and are prohibited from making personal copies of recordings created while on duty or while acting in their official capacity.

Members are prohibited from retaining BWC recordings. Members shall not duplicate or distribute such recordings, except for department business purposes. All such recordings shall be retained at the Department.

Recordings shall not be used by any member for the purpose of embarrassment, intimidation or ridicule. (Ref. policy 425.13)

1300.3 DATA COLLECTION
BWC use is limited to enforcement and investigative activities involving members of the public. The BWC recordings will capture video and audio evidence for use in criminal investigations, administrative reviews, training, civil litigation, and other proceedings protected by confidentiality laws and department policy. Improper use or release of BWC recordings may compromise ongoing criminal and administrative investigations or violate the privacy rights of those recorded and is prohibited. (Ref. policy 425.3)

1300.4 DATA ACCESS
Members are authorized to review their own BWC video files at any time in furtherance of official business. Such official business includes, but is not limited to, preparing written reports, prior to or while providing testimony in a case or being deposed. Members may review recordings as an evidentiary resource, except as stated in subsection 1300.4.1 below. Pursuant to 1300.2.1, Members shall not retain personal copies of recordings. Members shall not use the fact that a recording was made as a reason to write a less detailed report. (Ref. policy 425.17)
DEATH

(a) In the event of a critical incident that results in grave bodily injury or death, including an officer-involved shooting or an in-custody death, the BWC of the involved member(s) shall be taken from him or her and secured by a supervisor, commander, or appropriate investigator, as necessary. The involved member(s) shall not access or obtain their footage of the incident until such time as the criminal investigator(s) have reviewed the video files. It will be the responsibility of the investigation team’s supervisor to coordinate with the involved member’s supervisor to obtain footage of the incident on behalf of the member.

(b) Personnel uploading secured BWC video files shall not view the files unless authorized.

(c) No member involved in a critical incident may view any video recordings prior to an interview by the appropriate criminal investigative unit, and receiving command approval.

(d) Prior to the conclusion of the criminal interview process, the involved member and/or the member’s representative will have an opportunity to review the member’s recording(s). The involved member may choose to provide additional information to supplement his or her statement by providing a supplemental statement or separate supplemental document. In no case shall a member alter a report made prior to reviewing the recording. Where both an original statement and supplemental statement or document have been produced, both the original statement, without alteration, and the supplemental statement or document, shall be included in the report.

(e) The Department acknowledges that recordings taken during critical incidents obtained from BWCs do not necessarily reflect the full extent of the nature of the event or the experience, analysis, training, threat assessment or state of mind of the individual officers(s) in a given incident. Moreover, the recordings, especially video, have limitations and may depict events differently than the events recalled by the involved officer. Specifically, it is understood that the recording device will capture information that may not have been heard and/or observed by the involved officer and that officers may see and hear events that are not captured by the camera.

Officers who are involved in any critical incident where video recordings exist depicting the involved officer, either as a subject officer or witness, shall be provided the following admonishment to the initial interview or submission of the initial written report:

"In this case, there is video evidence that you will have an opportunity to view. Video evidence has limitations and may depict the events differently than you recall, and may not depict all of the events as seen or heard by you. Video has a limited field of view and may not capture events normally seen by the human eye. The "frame rate" of video may limit the camera's ability to capture movements normally seen by the human eye. Lighting as seen on the video may be different than what is seen by the human eye. Videos are a two-dimensional medium and may not capture depth, distance or positional orientation as well as the human eye. Remember, the video evidence is intended to assist your memory and ensure that your statement explains your state of mind at the time of the incident." (Ref. policy 425.17.1)

1300.4.2 SUPERVISORY REVIEW
With the exception of section 1300.4.1 above, supervisors are authorized to review relevant recordings any time they are reviewing and approving case reports from their subordinates. (Ref. policy 425.17.2)

1300.4.3 INVESTIGATORY REVIEW
Supervisors are authorized to review relevant recordings any time they are investigating alleged misconduct or reports of meritorious conduct, or whenever such recordings support review of the member’s performance. (Ref. policy 425.17.3)

(a) Recorded files may also be reviewed:
   1. Upon approval by a supervisor, by any member of the Department who is participating in conduct of an official investigation, such as a personnel complaint, an administrative investigation or a criminal investigation.
   2. Pursuant to lawful process or by court or District Attorney personnel who are otherwise authorized to review evidence in a related case.
   3. By personnel assigned to investigatory units who are authorized to view any BWC video file associated to their active investigations, unless otherwise prohibited by policy.
   4. Upon approval by the Chief of Police, Internal Affairs investigators may review BWC video with a complainant.
   4.5. Pursuant to 1300.9, access to recordings will be granted to the Police Review Commission Officer and/or Investigator investigating a specific complaint where BWC evidence files are available, and are not part of any ongoing criminal investigation of an officer.

(b) Investigators conducting criminal or internal investigations shall:
   1. Advise the coordinator to restrict access to the BWC file in criminal or internal investigations, as necessary.
   2. Review the file to determine whether the BWC file is of evidentiary value and process it in accordance with established protocols.
   3. Notify the coordinator to remove the access restriction when the criminal/internal investigation is closed.

1300.4.4 TEACHING OR LEARNING TOOL
BWC files may also be reviewed by training staff regarding specific incidents where such files may serve as an internal learning or teaching tool. In the event that videos are intended to be used for training purposes, the involved officer(s) will first be consulted. If he/she objects to the use of the video, such objection shall be submitted to the person in charge of training who shall weigh the value of the video for training against the officer(s) objections and basis for the objection. Should the person in charge of training refuse to grant the request of the involved officer(s), the matter shall be heard by the Chief of Police, or his/her designee, prior to utilizing the video. (Ref. policy 425.17.4). Any member(s) of the public that appears in video footage used for outside training purposes (e.g. if video footage is shown by an authorized member of the Police Department for training purposes outside of the Berkeley Police Department such as at a
conference or other training), to the extent feasible, will be blurred out or redacted in a manner to protect the privacy rights of those involved in the incident.

1300.4.5 COB CIVIL CLAIMS AND LAWSUITS
BWC recordings may be reviewed and used by City of Berkeley defense counsel for the purposes of defending the city in civil claims and lawsuits, with the authorization of the Chief of Police, or his/her designee. (Ref. policy 425.17.5)

1300.5 DATA PROTECTION
To assist with identifying and preserving data and recordings, members shall tag and download recordings in accordance with procedure, and document the existence of the recording in the related case report. Transfers must occur at the end of the member’s shift, and any time the member is aware that the storage capacity of the BWC is nearing its limit. In circumstances when the officer cannot complete this task, the officer’s supervisor shall immediately take custody of the BWC and be responsible for uploading the data. Officers shall tag each file with the appropriate case/incident number, provide a descriptive title, and select an appropriate category for each recording, using the Axon View app or via the Evidence.com site.

Members are prohibited from intentionally erasing, altering, reusing, modifying or tampering with original audio video recordings. (Ref. policy 425.14)

1300.6 CIVIL LIBERTIES AND RIGHTS PROTECTION:
The Berkeley Police Department’s mission is to safeguard our diverse community through proactive law enforcement and problem solving, treating all people with dignity and respect is dedicated to the most efficient utilization of its resources and services in its public safety endeavors. The Berkeley Police Department recognizes the need to protect its ownership and control over shared information and to protect the privacy and civil liberties of the public, in accordance with federal and state law and City of Berkeley rules and regulations. The procedures described within this policy (Data Access, Data Protection, Data Retention, Public Access and Third Party Data Sharing) protect against the unauthorized use of BWC data. These policies will ensure the data is not used in a way that would violate or infringe upon anyone’s civil rights and/or liberties, including but not limited to potentially disparate or adverse impacts on any communities or groups.

1300.7 DATA RETENTION
The Department shall retain all recordings for a minimum of 60 days. Incidents involving consensual contacts, and aid to citizens will be retained for six months, and cold reports will be retained for one year. Recordings of incidents involving use of force by a police officer, detentions, arrests, or recordings relevant to a formal or informal complaint shall be retained for a minimum of two years and one month. Recordings relating to court cases and personnel complaints that are being adjudicated will be manually deleted at the same time other evidence associated with the case is purged in line with the Department’s evidence retention policy. Any recordings related to administrative or civil proceedings shall be maintained until such matter is fully adjudicated, --at
which time it shall be deleted in line with the Department’s evidence retention policy, and any applicable orders from the court.

Recordings created by equipment testing or accidental activation may be deleted after 60 days. (Ref. policy 425.15)

1300.8 PUBLIC ACCESS AND THIRD PARTY DATA SHARING
All recordings should be reviewed by the Custodian of Records and the City Attorney’s Office prior to public release, see General Order R-23 (Release of Public Records and Information). (Ref. policy 425.18) and Government Code section 6254(f). Access to recorded files will be granted for the purposes of review in response to a public records request, as permitted under Government Code § 6254(f) and BPD General Order R-23 (Release of Public Records and Information).

General Order R-23 does not authorize release of investigative files or documents that would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. Circumstances where this might arise in video include footage taken inside a home, a medical facility, the scene of a medical emergency, or where an individual recorded has a “reasonable expectation of privacy.”

In the event that the Police Department or City Department intends to release or publish for any purpose video recordings where officers are captured on video or the video depicts actions taken by them in the course of the performance of their official duties, those officers shall be given written notice of the intention to release or publish said video at least 48 hours prior to such release.

BPD may, without prior notice to involved officers, share video footage of specific incidents with law enforcement agencies outside of Berkeley, when there is reasonable suspicion that criminal activity has occurred or is about to occur. (Ref. policy 425.18). Pursuant to Berkeley Police Department Policy 415, video footage may not be shared with federal immigration enforcement officials.

Access to recorded files will be granted for the purposes of review to media personnel or the general public with permission of the Chief of Police, or his/her designee, subject to General Order R-23, and privacy protections indicated in this policy, Government Code section 6254(f), other applicable law and policy governing the release of public records, and subject to the review of the City Attorney’s Office. (Ref. policy 425.18)

1300.9 THIRD-PARTY DATA-SHARING POLICE REVIEW COMMISSION

1300.9.1 CITY ATTORNEY
All recordings should be reviewed by the Custodian of Records and the City Attorney’s Office prior to public release, see General Order R-23 (Release of Public Records and Information).

In the event that the Police Department or City Department intends to release or publish for any purpose video recordings where officers are captured on video or the video depicts actions taken
by them in the course of the performance of their official duties, those officers shall be given written notice of the intention to release or publish said video at least 48 hours prior to such release.

BPD may, without prior notice to involved officers, share video footage with law enforcement, national security, military, or other government agencies outside of Berkeley, when there is reasonable suspicion that criminal activity has occurred or is about to occur. (Ref. policy 425.18)

1300.9.2 POLICE REVIEW COMMISSION (PRC)
Access to recorded files will be granted for the purposes of review to the Police Review Commission (PRC) Officer and/or Investigator investigating a specific complaint where BWC evidence files are available, and are not part of any ongoing criminal investigation of a complainant or an officer. (Ref. policy 425.18.1)

(a) The PRC Officer and PRC Investigator will be provided user account access to evidence files through the evidence management system for their use during a complaint investigation and to facilitate viewing by Board of Inquiry members during a Board of Inquiry.

(b) The PRC Officer and PRC investigator shall not make or create a copy of any evidence file, nor make or allow to be made any audio or video recording of any evidence file while it is being streamed and viewed from the evidence management system.

(c) The PRC Officer and PRC Investigator shall not allow any unauthorized individuals to view or access evidence files.

(d) The evidence management system associates an audit trail record with each evidence file, thereby logging the date, time, user, activity, and client IP address occurring during each evidence file access.

(e) The evidence management system shall only be accessed on City premises.

(f) The Department retains custody and control of the recordings, and content of the video will be subject to applicable legal standards including, but not limited to the confidentiality requirements of the Public Safety Officers' Procedural Bill of Rights, (Government Code § 3300, et seq., Penal Code § 832.7, and the California Public Records Act; Government Code § 6250, et seq.)

1300.10 TRAINING
Training for the operation of BWC’s shall be provided by BPD personnel. All BPD personnel who use BWC’s shall be provided a copy of this Surveillance Use Policy.

1300.11 AUDITING AND OVERSIGHT
Division Captains for divisions utilizing BWC’s shall ensure compliance with this Surveillance Use Policy.

1300.12 MAINTENANCE
The BWC system will be maintained by the Applications Programmer Analyst and assigned Department of Information and Technology (IT) staff.
The Chief of Police, or his/her designee shall appoint a member of the Department to coordinate the use and maintenance of BWCs and the storage of recordings, including (Penal Code § 832.18) (Ref policy 425.4):

(a) Establishing a system for uploading, storing and security of recordings.
(b) Designating persons responsible for uploading recorded data.
(c) Establishing a maintenance system to ensure availability of BWCs.
(d) Establishing a system for tagging and categorizing data according to the type of incident captured.
(e) Establishing a system to prevent tampering, deleting and copying recordings and ensure chain of custody integrity.
(f) Working with the City Attorney’s office to ensure an appropriate retention schedule is being applied to recordings and associated documentation.
(g) Maintaining an audit trail record for all access to evidence files, wherein access information for each evidence file is logged through use of a secure log-in system. The Department’s storage system associates an audit trail record with each evidence file, thereby logging the date, time, user name, activity and client IP address occurring during each evidence file access.

(h) All recordings made by members acting in their official capacity shall remain the property of the Department. Subject to the provisions of this Policy, members shall have no expectation of privacy or ownership interest in the content of these recordings.
BODY WORN CAMERAS (BWCs)

A. DESCRIPTION

The BWC system consists of four main components: The camera, the docking station, and the Digital Information Management System (DIMS) and smartphone applications.

The first component, the Axon camera, is a system which incorporates an audio and video recording device. It is designed to record events in real time for secure storage, retrieval, and analysis. The camera is to be attached to an officer’s uniform and is powered by an internal rechargeable battery. The camera features low-light performance, full-shift battery life, a capture rate of 30 frames per second with no dropped frames, HD video, pre-event buffering, multi-camera playback, and the ability to automatically categorize video using the police department’s computer aided dispatch system. An officer can start and stop recording by pressing a button on the front of the camera. The camera does not contain a screen for footage review.

The second component of the system is the docking station. Once the Axon camera is placed in the docking station it recharges the camera’s battery. The dock also triggers the uploading of data from the camera to a cloud based Digital Information Management System (DIMS) called Evidence.com. The dock does not directly provide functionality to view, modify or delete video data stored on Axon cameras.

The third component is the Digital Information Management System called Evidence.com. Evidence.com streamlines data management and sharing on one secure platform. The evidence management system is comprehensive, secure, and intuitive to use. The DIMS is located in a cloud-based data center for security, scalability, and ease of administration. Users can add
metadata to existing videos such as associated case numbers, incident type, incident dispositions, etc. to make the videos easier to find. However, the videos themselves cannot be altered by the user.

The fourth component of the system to be utilized are two Axon mobile applications, which allow officers to collect and review evidence in the field and more effectively use their BWCs. The applications use secure Bluetooth and Wi-Fi technology to access the BWC systems and footage. These applications are compliant with US Department of Justice evidentiary standards, meaning that they are both secure and are set up in a way that prohibits the altering or destruction of evidence. The applications are called Axon View and Axon Capture. Axon View allows users to change their camera settings, view live video, and review and tag recorded videos while they are stored on the BWC. Recorded videos remain in the BWC’s memory, and cannot be manipulated or deleted. Axon Capture allows officers to use their city-issued smartphone’s camera and microphone to take photographs, and record audio and video, and to upload this data directly to Evidence.com. These applications do not allow users to alter, manipulate, or edit any of the footage recorded by the BWC. These applications use secure technology to add value and efficiency to the BWC program.

B. PURPOSE
The primary objective of the BWC system is to document officer contacts, arrests, and critical incidents. Video footage collected by the BWCs will be used as evidence in both criminal and administrative investigations. Video footage not relevant to any investigation will be discarded after a defined retention period.

In instances where the officer might be expected to take law enforcement action of any kind, the officer is expected to record the encounter for the benefit of both the officer and the member of the public.

1. The BWC shall be activated in any of the following situations:
   i. All in-person enforcement and investigative contacts including pedestrian stops and field interview (FI) situations.
   ii. Traffic stops including, but not limited to, traffic violations, stranded motorist assistance and all crime interdiction stops.
   iii. Self-initiated field contacts in which a member would normally notify the Communications Center.
   iv. Any search activity, including the service of search or arrest warrants; probation, parole, or consent searches where the member is seeking evidence of an offense, or conducting a safety sweep or community caretaking sweep of the premises. Once a location has been secured and the member is not interacting with detainees or arrestees, the member may mute their BWC when conducting a search for evidence.
v. Any other contact that the member determines has become adversarial after the initial contact in a situation where the member would not otherwise activate BWC recording.
vi. Transporting any detained or arrested person and where a member facilitates entry into or out of a vehicle, or any time the member expects to have physical contact with that person.

At no time is an officer expected to jeopardize his or her safety in order to activate a BWC. The BWC should be activated in anticipation of situations described above, and in any unanticipated, rapidly unfolding situation where activation becomes required, as soon as the user can do so safely.

Officers should activate their BWC when conducting custodial interviews unless there are other recording devices being used. Officers shall document and explain in their report the reason for not recording custodial interviews, should a BWC be de-activated while conducting a custodial interview or interrogation.

2. Prohibited uses of the BWC system include:
   i. Officers shall not surreptitiously record another department member without a court order unless lawfully authorized by the Chief of Police, or his/her designee.
   ii. Officers are prohibited from using a department-issued BWC for personal use and are prohibited from making personal copies of recordings created while on duty or while acting in their official capacity.
   iii. Officers are prohibited from retaining BWC recordings.
   iv. Officers shall not duplicate or distribute such recordings, except for department business purposes.

C. LOCATION
   Officers may use BWCs anywhere where officers have jurisdiction to operate as sworn officers, in accordance with BPD policy #425.

D. IMPACT
   With the introduction of BWCs, officers record all enforcement contacts with the public. To that end, an officer could find themselves engaged in their lawful duties in both public and private areas. Additionally, due to the nature of law enforcement work, an officer may be required to engage in sensitive conversations with individuals of all ages, including children.

   The right to maintain someone’s anonymity versus the need to gain information to maintain public safety is of paramount concern. The Department recognizes that all people have a right to privacy and is committed to protecting and safeguarding civil rights by adhering to the
strictest requirements of both state and federal law concerning release of audio/video recordings.

E. MITIGATION
In order to minimize violations of privacy, BWC policy provides that:

1. Officers should record any incident they feel would be appropriate or valuable to document. The BWC policy shall require officers to activate the BWC under the criteria listed above.
2. Officers should not activate the BWC and/or use caution when entering a public locker room, changing room, restroom, doctor's or attorney's office, or other place where individuals unrelated to the investigation are present and would have a heightened expectation of privacy unless the officer is investigating criminal activity or responding to a call for service.
3. BWC use is limited to enforcement and investigative activates involving members of the public. The BWC recordings will capture video and audio evidence for use in criminal investigations, administrative reviews, training, civil litigation, and other proceedings protected by confidentiality laws and department policy.
4. BWC footage will be retained or released in accordance with applicable state and federal law. Criminal defendants will have access to relevant BWC footage via the court discovery process.
5. Officers are prohibited from retaining BWC recordings. Officers shall not duplicate or distribute such recordings, except for department business purposes. All such recordings shall be retained at the Department.
6. Officers are prohibited from intentionally erasing, altering, reusing, modifying or tampering with original audio video recordings. Officers may request restriction and subsequent deletion of an accidental recording according to the BWC policy.
7. Access to recorded files will be granted for the purposes of review in response to a public records request, as permitted by law and department policy. Department policy does not authorize release of investigative files or documents that would constitute an unwarranted invasions of privacy. Circumstances where this might arise in video include footage taken inside a home, a medical facility, the scene of a medical emergency, or where an individual recorded has a “reasonable expectation of privacy

F. DATA TYPES AND SOURCES
BWC use is limited to enforcement and investigative activities involving members of the public. The BWC recordings will capture video and audio evidence for use in criminal investigations, administrative reviews, training, civil litigations, and other proceedings protected by confidentiality laws and department policy.
The BWC collects video and audio recordings of events occurring in the user’s presence. As each video is created, the system automatically stamps the video with the current date/time and the camera user’s identity. The user has the option to add metadata manually to existing recordings after they are created. Such metadata may include but is not limited to:

1. Category of contact (from Department’s defined list)
2. Disposition of contact (arrest, citation, etc.)
3. Associated case number

G. DATA SECURITY

To assist with identifying and preserving data and recordings, members shall tag and download recordings in accordance with procedure, and document the existence of the recording in the related case report. Transfers must occur at the end of the member’s shift, and any time the member is aware that the storage capacity of the BWC is nearing its limit. In circumstances when the officer cannot complete this task, the officer’s supervisor shall immediately take custody of the BWC and be responsible for transferring the data into the digital evidence management system. Officers shall tag each file with the appropriate case/incident number, provide a descriptive title, and select an appropriate category for each recording, using the Axon View app or via the Evidence.com site.

Members are prohibited from intentionally erasing, altering, reusing, modifying or tampering with original audio video recordings.

Improper use or release of BWC recordings may compromise ongoing criminal and administrative investigations or violate the privacy rights of those recorded and is prohibited. The Chief of Police, or his/her designee shall appoint a member of the Department to coordinate the use and maintenance of BWCs and the storage of recordings, including (Penal Code Section 832.18) (Ref. policy 425.14):

1. Establishing a system for uploading, storing and security of recordings.
2. Designating persons responsible for uploading recorded data.
3. Establishing a maintenance system to ensure availability of BWCs.
4. Establishing a system for tagging and categorizing data according to the type of incident captured.
5. Establishing a system to prevent tampering, deleting and copying recordings and ensure chain of custody integrity.
6. Working with the City Attorney’s office to ensure an appropriate retention schedule is being applied to recordings and associated documentation.
7. Maintaining an audit trail record for all access to evidence files, wherein access information for each evidence file is logged through use of a secure log-in system. The Department’s storage system associates an audit trail record with each evidence file,
thereby logging the date, time, user name, activity and client IP address occurring during each evidence file access.

**H. FISCAL COST**

In 2017, the Berkeley City Council approved a resolution authorizing a contract between BPD and Axon. Axon was chosen after a competitive Request for Proposal (RFP) process. The contract will not exceed $1,218,103 and includes the cost of 200 body worn cameras, charging stations, accessories, software licenses, training and unlimited storage for five years. The purchase also includes replacement cameras and charging stations during the third and fifth year of the contract.

There will be an annual cost of approximately $250,000 to the police department's budget for a staff person to administer the body worn camera program beginning in FY 2019.

**I. THIRD PARTY DEPENDENCE AND ACCESS**

All BWC data will be uploaded and stored on Axon Cloud Services, Evidence.com. Axon complies with the EU-U.S. Privacy Shield Framework and the Swiss-U.S. Privacy Shield Framework as set forth by the U.S. Department of Commerce regarding the collection, use, and retention of personal information transferred from the European Union and Switzerland to the United States (collectively, “Privacy Shield”). Axon has certified to the U.S. Department of Commerce that it adheres to the Privacy Shield Principles.

**J. ALTERNATIVES**

Officers rely primarily on traditional policing techniques to gather evidence related to criminal investigations such as speaking to witnesses and suspects, gathering information from observations, and using standard data aggregation systems. These methods will continue to be employed as primary investigative tools that will be supplemented by use of BWCs to document police activity.

BWC technology provides video and audio documentation of policing activity in addition to the oral and written statements of officers, victims, and witnesses. Alternatives to the use of BWCs would be vehicle-based cameras and/or not utilizing BWCs. However, BPD sees the use of BWCs as an integral strategy to strengthen police transparency, prevent and resolve complaints against the police by civilians, document police-public interaction, and promote the perceived legitimacy and sense of procedural justice that communities have about their departments. There is a broad consensus – among community leaders, the ACLU, the Department of Justice, the Berkeley Police Department, and elected officials – that body-worn cameras can be an important tool for improving the high-quality public service expected of police officers.
K. EXPERIENCE OF OTHER ENTITIES
Numerous police agencies have adopted BWCs as a tool to help combat crime, to reduce citizen complaints and to reduce use of force situations. Many departments have developed their own usage policies which may include standards for required officer use, supervisory review, storage and data retention standards, and internal and public access.

A report for the U.S. Bureau of Justice Administration, https://www.bja.gov/bwc/pdfs/14-005_Report_BODY_WORN_CAMERAS.pdf - pages 6-8, cites a 2013 Rialto, CA study that showed that the use of BWCs led to a 59 percent decrease in UOF and an 87.5 percent decrease in citizen complaints. Likewise, the Mesa, AZ report noted in “Impact” Section above also points to large decreases in UOF and citizen complaints.

The 2017 Police Body Worn Cameras: A Policy Scorecard, https://www.bwcscorecard.org/, provides an analysis of how scores of different police agencies have employed BWCs through a defined list of metrics.
Body Worn Cameras

425.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE
This policy provides guidelines for the use of portable Body Worn Cameras (BWCs) by members of this department while in the performance of their duties.

This policy does not apply to non-BWC evidence, including other methods of audio or video recordings, interviews or interrogations conducted at any Berkeley Police Department facility, authorized undercover operations, wiretaps or eavesdropping (concealed listening devices).

425.2 POLICY
The Berkeley Police Department recognizes that video recording of contacts between department personnel and the public provides an objective record of these events, and that the use of a recording system complements field personnel in the performance of their duties by providing —a video record of enforcement and investigative field contacts, which can enhance criminal prosecutions, limit civil liability, increase transparency, and enhance professionalism in the delivery of police services to the community. A video recording of an event or contact also enables the delivery of timely, relevant, and appropriate training to maximize safety for both community members and BPD personnel.

While recordings obtained from BWCs provide an objective record of events, it is understood that video recordings do not necessarily capture all events, activities and information, or reflect the full experience of the individual member(s) in a given incident. Moreover, the recordings, especially video, have limitations and may depict events differently than the events as perceived and recalled by the involved member. Specifically, it is understood that the BWC will capture information that may not have been seen and/or heard by the involved member and that the involved member may see and hear information that may not have been captured by the BWC.

425.3 CONFIDENTIALITY AND PROPER USE OF RECORDINGS
BWC use is limited to enforcement and investigative activities involving members of the public. The BWC recordings will capture video and audio evidence for use in criminal investigations, administrative reviews, training, civil litigation, and other proceedings protected by confidentiality laws and department policy. Improper use or release of BWC recordings may compromise ongoing criminal and administrative investigations or violate the privacy rights of those recorded and is prohibited.

425.4 COORDINATOR
The Chief of Police, or his/her designee shall appoint a member of the Department to coordinate the use and maintenance of BWCs and the storage of recordings, including (Penal Code § 832.18):

(a) Establishing a system for uploading, storing and security of recordings.

(b) Designating persons responsible for uploading recorded data.
(c) Establishing a maintenance system to ensure availability of BWCs.
(d) Establishing a system for tagging and categorizing data according to the type of incident captured.
(e) Establishing a system to prevent tampering, deleting and copying recordings and ensure chain of custody integrity.
(f) Working with the City Attorney’s office to ensure an appropriate retention schedule is being applied to recordings and associated documentation.
(g) Maintaining an audit trail record for all access to evidence files, wherein access information for each evidence file is logged through use of a secure log-in system. The Department’s storage system associates an audit trail record with each evidence file, thereby logging the date, time, user name, activity and client IP address occurring during each evidence file access.

All recordings made by members acting in their official capacity shall remain the property of the Department. Subject to the provisions of this Policy, members shall have no expectation of privacy or ownership interest in the content of these recordings.

425.5 MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES
Prior to going into service, each uniformed member who is assigned to wear a BWC will be responsible for making sure that he or she is equipped with a BWC issued by the Department, and that the BWC is in good working order. If the BWC is not in working order or the member becomes aware of a malfunction at any time, the member shall promptly report the failure to his/her supervisor to permit the supervisor or other department employee to provide the member with a functioning BWC as soon as practicable. Uniformed members should wear the recorder in a conspicuous manner as prescribed by the Department, to provide a generally unobstructed camera view of contacts between members of the public and department members.

Members lawfully engaged in their duties as a police officer are not required to obtain consent from, or give notice to, members of the public, prior to recording with their BWC.

Upon the approval of the Chief of Police, or his/her designee, non-uniformed members lawfully engaged in their duties as a police officer may use an approved BWC.

Members are required to document the existence of a recording in any report or other official record of the contact, such as a CAD entry, including any instance where the member is aware that the BWC malfunctioned or the member deactivated the recording. In the event activity outlined in section 425.7 is not captured in whole or in part the member shall document this and explain in their report their understanding, if any, of why the footage was not captured in the recording.

425.6 SUPERVISOR RESPONSIBILITIES
At such time as the scene is considered secure and safe, the on-scene supervisor shall take immediate physical custody of involved officer’s/officers’ BWC when the device may have captured an incident involving an officer-involved shooting or use of force resulting in death or great bodily
injury, and shall ensure the data is uploaded in a timely manner as prescribed by BPD policy (Penal Code § 832.18). Supervisors may review relevant BWC video and audio files in the field in furtherance of their duties and responsibilities.

Supervisors shall also review relevant BWC recordings prior to submitting any administrative reports.

425.7 ACTIVATION OF THE BODY WORN CAMERA
This policy is not intended to describe every possible situation in which the BWC should be used. Members shall activate the BWC as required by this policy in (a)-(f) below, and may activate the BWC at any time the member believes it would be appropriate or valuable to record an incident within the limits of privacy described herein.

The BWC shall be activated in any of the following situations:

(a) All in-person enforcement and investigative contacts including pedestrian stops and field interview (FI) situations.

(b) Traffic stops including, but not limited to, traffic violations, stranded motorist assistance and all crime interdiction stops.

(c) Self-initiated field contacts in which a member would normally notify the Communications Center.

(d) Any search activity, including the service of search or arrest warrants; probation, parole, or consent searches where the member is seeking evidence of an offense, or conducting a safety sweep or community caretaking sweep of the premises. Once a location has been secured and the member is not interacting with detainees or arrestees, the member may mute their BWC when conducting a search for evidence.

(e) Any other contact that the member determines has become adversarial after the initial contact in a situation where the member would not otherwise activate BWC recording.

(f) Transporting any detained or arrested person and where a member facilitates entry into or out of a vehicle, or any time the member expects to have physical contact with that person.

At no time is a member expected to jeopardize his or her safety in order to activate a BWC. The BWC should be activated by members in anticipation of situations described above, and in any unanticipated, rapidly unfolding situation where activation becomes required, as soon as the member can do so safely.

Members should activate their BWC when conducting custodial interviews unless there are other recording devices being used. Members shall document and explain in their report the reason for not recording custodial interviews, should a BWC be de-activated while conducting a custodial interview or interrogation.

425.8 VICTIMS AND WITNESSES OF CRIMES; INFORMANTS
In the event that an officer has the opportunity to record interviews of victims and witnesses of crimes, they shall consider the following:
(a) **Witnesses:** In the event a crime witness or a member of the community wishes to report or discuss criminal activity anonymously, officers have the discretion to not record. Members may offer to avert their camera to capture only audio during the interview, when doing so would facilitate obtaining the witness's recorded statement. In cases where a witness requests they not be recorded, and the member agrees not to record, members should record their request prior to turning the camera off. When a member is already recording, the member shall record their explanation for turning the camera off prior to doing so.

(b) **Victims:** Upon request by the victim, officers have the discretion to not record the interview. Members may offer to avert their camera to capture only audio during the interview, when doing so would facilitate obtaining the victim’s recorded statement. In cases where a victim requests they not be recorded, and the member agrees not to record, members should record their request prior to turning the camera off. When a member is already recording, the member shall record their explanation for turning the camera off prior to doing so.

1. **Domestic Violence Victims:** Members should attempt to record interviews of domestic violence victims to facilitate future prosecution efforts and discourage later recanting of statements. Members should also record interviews with children who witness domestic violence, when the child is willing.

2. **Child Abuse and Sexual Assault Victims:** Members shall have the discretion to record, absent any request to not record the interview by victims, witnesses, or non-suspect parents of victims, during child abuse and/or sexual assault investigations.

(c) **Informants:** Members shall not activate their recorders when conducting an interview or engaging in a conversation with a confidential informant, unless needed as evidence.

Members have no obligation to advise a victim or witness that he or she is being recorded, but may do so at their discretion. When a victim or witness requests they not be recorded, members may consider their request (See Penal Code 632).

Members shall remain sensitive to the dignity of all individuals being recorded and exercise discretion to respect privacy by discontinuing recording whenever it reasonably appears to the member that such privacy concerns may outweigh any legitimate law enforcement interest in recording. Recording should resume when privacy concerns are no longer at issue unless the member determines that the circumstances no longer fit the criteria for recording.

Informal community interactions differ from “consensual encounters” in which members make an effort to develop reasonable suspicion to detain or probable cause to arrest. To strengthen relationships between police and citizens, members may use discretion regarding the recording of informal, non-enforcement related interactions with members of the community.

### 425.9 ACTIVATION IN CROWD CONTROL SITUATIONS

During crowd control, protest or mass arrest incidents, members shall use their BWCs consistent with this policy, or when directed by the Incident Commander. The Incident Commander shall document his or her orders to activate in an appropriate report (e.g. Operations Plan or After...
The limitations outlined in the Intelligence Procedures for First Amendment Activities Policy governing intelligence-gathering procedures for First Amendment activities, apply to the use of BWCS and other recording devices.

Video recording of individuals who are picketing or engaged in peaceful protest will be avoided unless the officer believes a violation of criminal law is occurring, may occur, or if the officer interacts with a participant or third party to the event, or a participant or third party initiates contact with the member.

425.10 SURREPTITIOUS USE OF THE BWC

Members of the Department may surreptitiously record any conversation during the course of a criminal investigation in which the member reasonably believes that such a recording will be lawful and beneficial to the investigation.

Members shall not surreptitiously record another department member without a court order unless lawfully authorized by the Chief of Police, or his/her designee.

Members are prohibited from using department-issued BWCS for non-work related personal activity. BWCS will not be activated in places where members have a reasonable expectation of privacy, such as workplace locker rooms, dressing rooms, members’ private vehicles or restrooms.

425.11 CESSATION OF RECORDING

Once activated, the member may mute or deactivate their BWC at any time based on their discretion, in the following circumstances:

(a) Discussion of tactical or confidential information with other law enforcement personnel.
(b) Where members are on a perimeter or assigned to a static post where the member’s direct participation in the incident is complete and they are not actively part of an investigation.
(c) If it is necessary to discuss issues or concerns with an employee, supervisor, doctor, nurse, or paramedic in private.
(d) In the member’s judgment, a recording would interfere with his or her ability to conduct an investigation.

Decisions regarding the reason for muting or BWC deactivation shall be noted on the recording, or otherwise documented.

Members shall cease audio/video recording whenever necessary to ensure conversations are not recorded between a person in custody and the person’s attorney, religious advisor or physician, unless there is explicit consent from all parties to the conversation. This does not apply to conversations with paramedics or EMTs during their response at a scene, and during transport.
425.12 EXPLOSIVE DEVICE
Many portable recorders, including BWCs and audio/video transmitters, emit radio waves that could trigger an explosive device. Therefore, these devices should not be used where an explosive device may be present.

Members believing that the use of a BWC may detonate an explosive device may deactivate their BWC in such cases.

425.13 PROHIBITED USE OF BODY WORN CAMERAS
Members are prohibited from using a department-issued BWC for personal use and are prohibited from making personal copies of recordings created while on duty or while acting in their official capacity.

Members are prohibited from retaining BWC recordings. Members shall not duplicate or distribute such recordings, except for department business purposes. All such recordings shall be retained at the Department.

Members may not use personally owned recorders (e.g. personal cell phone) to document contacts unless exigent circumstances exist to warrant the use of personally owned recording devices. Regardless, if a member is using a department-issued BWC, and/or another recording device, members shall comply with the provisions of this policy, including retention and release requirements. In every event where members use any recording device aside from or in addition to their department-issued BWC, the member shall document and explain the use and the exigent circumstance in their police report (e.g. the BWC failed and evidence needed to be captured at that moment in time).

Recordings shall not be used by any member for the purpose of embarrassment, intimidation or ridicule.

425.14 PROCESSING AND HANDLING OF RECORDINGS
To assist with identifying and preserving data and recordings, members shall tag and download recordings in accordance with procedure, and document the existence of the recording in the related case report. Transfers must occur at the end of the member’s shift, and any time the member is aware that the storage capacity of the BWC is nearing its limit. In circumstances when the officer cannot complete this task, the officer’s supervisor shall immediately take custody of the BWC and be responsible for uploading the data. Officers shall tag each file with the appropriate case/incident number, provide a descriptive title, and select an appropriate category for each recording, using the Axon View app or via the Evidence.com site.

Members are prohibited from intentionally erasing, altering, reusing, modifying or tampering with original audio video recordings. Members may request restriction and subsequent deletion of an accidental recording as described under section 425.16 below.

425.15 RETENTION REQUIREMENTS
The Department shall retain all recordings for a minimum of 60 days. Incidents involving
consensual contacts, and aid to citizens will be retained for six months, and cold reports will be retained for one year. Recordings of incidents involving use of force by a police officer, detentions, arrests, or recordings relevant to a formal or informal complaint shall be retained for a minimum of two years and one month. Recordings relating to court cases and personnel complaints that are being adjudicated will be manually deleted at the same time other evidence associated with the case is purged in line with the Department’s evidence retention policy. Any recordings related to administrative or civil proceedings shall be maintained until such matter is fully adjudicated, at which time it shall be deleted in line with the Department’s evidence retention policy, and any applicable orders from the court.

Recordings created by equipment testing or accidental activation may be deleted after 60 days.

425.16 ACCIDENTAL RECORDING - REQUEST FOR RESTRICTION
In the event of an accidental or sensitive personal recording of non-departmental business activity, where the resulting recording is of no investigative or evidentiary value, the recording employee may request that the file be restricted pending 60-day deletion by submitting an email request via their chain of command to the Professional Standards Division Captain. The Professional Standards Division Captain will approve or deny the restriction request. In cases where the request is denied, an appeal may be submitted to the Chief of Police, or his/her designee, for restriction authorization. In all cases of restriction requests, a determination should be made within seven calendar days.

425.17 REVIEW OF RECORDINGS BY A MEMBER
Members are authorized to review their own BWC video files at any time in furtherance of official business. Such official business includes, but is not limited to, preparing written reports, prior to or while providing testimony in a case or being deposed. Members may review recordings as an evidentiary resource, except as stated in subsection 425.17.1 below. Members shall not retain personal copies of recordings. Members shall not use the fact that a recording was made as a reason to write a less detailed report.

425.17.1 OFFICER INVOLVED INCIDENTS RESULTING IN GRAVE BODILY INJURY OR DEATH
(a) In the event of a critical incident that results in grave bodily injury or death, including an officer-involved shooting or an in-custody death, the BWC of the involved member(s) shall be taken from him or her and secured by a supervisor, commander, or appropriate investigator, as necessary. The involved member(s) shall not access or obtain their footage of the incident until such time as the criminal investigator(s) have reviewed the video files. It will be the responsibility of the investigation team’s supervisor to coordinate with the involved member’s supervisor to obtain footage of the incident on behalf of the member.

(b) Personnel uploading secured BWC video files shall not view the files unless authorized.

(c) No member involved in a critical incident may view any video recordings prior to an
interview by the appropriate criminal investigative unit, and receiving command approval.

(d) Prior to the conclusion of the criminal interview process, the involved member and/or the member’s representative will have an opportunity to review the member’s recording(s). The involved member may choose to provide additional information to supplement his or her statement by providing a supplemental statement or separate supplemental document. In no case shall a member alter a report made prior to reviewing the recording. Where both an original statement and supplemental statement or document have been produced, both the original statement, without alteration, and the supplemental statement or document, shall be included in the report.

(e) The Department acknowledges that recordings taken during critical incidents obtained from BWCs do not necessarily reflect the full extent of the nature of the event or the experience, analysis, training, threat assessment or state of mind of the individual officers(s) in a given incident. Moreover, the recordings, especially video, have limitations and may depict events differently than the events recalled by the involved officer. Specifically, it is understood that the recording device will capture information that may not have been heard and/or observed by the involved officer and that officers may see and hear events that are not captured by the camera.

Officers who are involved in any critical incident where video recordings exist depicting the involved officer, either as a subject officer or witness, shall be provided the following admonishment to the initial interview or submission of the initial written report:

"In this case, there is video evidence that you will have an opportunity to view. Video evidence has limitations and may depict the events differently than you recall, and may not depict all of the events as seen or heard by you. Video has a limited field of view and may not capture events normally seen by the human eye. The "frame rate" of video may limit the camera's ability to capture movements normally seen by the human eye. Lighting as seen on the video may be different than what is seen by the human eye. Videos are a two-dimensional medium and may not capture depth, distance or positional orientation as well as the human eye. Remember, the video evidence is intended to assist your memory and ensure that your statement explains your state of mind at the time of the incident."

425.17.2 SUPERVISORY REVIEW
With the exception of section 425.17.1 above, supervisors are authorized to review relevant recordings any time they are reviewing and approving case reports from their subordinates.

425.17.3 INVESTIGATORY REVIEW
Supervisors are authorized to review relevant recordings any time they are investigating alleged misconduct or reports of meritorious conduct, or whenever such recordings support review of the member’s performance.

Recorded files may also be reviewed:

(a) Upon approval by a supervisor, by any member of the Department who is participating in conduct of an official investigation, such as a personnel complaint, an administrative
investigation or a criminal investigation.

(b) Pursuant to lawful process or by court or District Attorney personnel who are otherwise authorized to review evidence in a related case.

(c) By personnel assigned to investigatory units who are authorized to view any BWC video file associated to their active investigations, unless otherwise prohibited by policy.

(d) Upon approval by the Chief of Police, Internal Affairs investigators may review BWC video with a complainant.

(e) Pursuant to 425.18.1, access to recordings will be granted to the Police Review Commission Officer and/or Investigator investigating a specific complaint where BWC evidence files are available, and are not part of any ongoing criminal investigation of an officer.

Investigators conducting criminal or internal investigations shall:

1. Advise the coordinator to restrict access to the BWC file in criminal or internal investigations, as necessary.
2. Review the file to determine whether the BWC file is of evidentiary value and process it in accordance with established protocols.
3. Notify the coordinator to remove the access restriction when the criminal/internal investigation is closed.

425.17.4 TEACHING OR LEARNING TOOL
BWC files may also be reviewed by training staff regarding specific incidents where such files may serve as an internal learning or teaching tool. In the event that videos are intended to be used for training purposes, the involved officer(s) will first be consulted. If he/she objects to the use of the video, such objection shall be submitted to the person in charge of training who shall weigh the value of the video for training against the officer(s) objections and basis for the objection. Should the person in charge of training refuse to grant the request of the involved officer(s), the matter shall be heard by the Chief of Police, or his/her designee, prior to utilizing the video. Any member(s) of the public that appears in video footage used for outside training purposes (e.g. if video footage is shown by an authorized member of the Police Department for training purposes outside of the Berkeley Police Department such as at a conference or other training), to the extent feasible, will be blurred out or redacted in a manner to protect the privacy rights of those involved in the incident.

425.17.5 COB CIVIL CLAIMS AND LAWSUITS
BWC recordings may be reviewed and used by City of Berkeley defense counsel for the purposes of defending the city in civil claims and lawsuits, with the authorization of the Chief of Police, or his/her designee.

425.18 RELEASE OF RECORDINGS
All recordings should be reviewed by the Custodian of Records and the City Attorney’s Office prior to public release, see General Order R-23 (Release of Public Records and Information) and
**Government Code section 6254(f).**

In the event that the Police Department or City Department intends to release or publish for any purpose video recordings where officers are captured on video or the video depicts actions taken by them in the course of the performance of their official duties, those officers shall be given written notice of the intention to release or publish said video at least 48 hours prior to such release.

BPD may, without prior notice to involved officers, share video footage with law enforcement, national security, military, or other government agencies outside of Berkeley, when there is reasonable suspicion that criminal activity has occurred or is about to occur. **Pursuant to Berkeley Police Department Policy 415, video footage may not be shared with federal immigration enforcement officials.**

425.18.1 POLICE REVIEW COMMISSION (PRC)

Access to recorded files will be granted for the purposes of review to the Police Review Commission Officer and/or Investigator investigating a specific complaint where BWC evidence files are available, and are not part of any ongoing criminal investigation of a complainant or an officer.

(a) The PRC Officer and PRC Investigator will be provided user account access to evidence files through the evidence management system for their use during a complaint investigation and to facilitate viewing by Board of Inquiry members during a Board of Inquiry.

(b) The PRC Officer and PRC investigator shall not make or create a copy of any evidence file, nor make or allow to be made any audio or video recording of any evidence file while it is being streamed and viewed from the evidence management system.

(c) The PRC Officer and PRC Investigator shall not allow any unauthorized individuals to view or access evidence files.

(d) The evidence management system associates an audit trail record with each evidence file, thereby logging the date, time, user, activity, and client IP address occurring during each evidence file access.

(e) The evidence management system shall only be accessed on City premises.

(f) The Department retains custody and control of the recordings, and content of the video will be subject to applicable legal standards including, but not limited to the confidentiality requirements of the Public Safety Officers’ Procedural Bill of Rights, (Government Code § 3300, et seq., Penal Code § 832.7, and the California Public Records Act; Government Code § 6250, et seq.)

425.18.2 PUBLIC RECORDS ACT (PRA) REQUEST

Access to recorded files will be granted for the purposes of review in response to a public records request, as permitted under Government Code § 6254(f) and BPD General Order R-23 (Release of Public Records and Information). General Order R-23 does not authorize release of investigative files or documents that would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. Circumstances where this might arise in video include footage taken inside a home, a medical facility, the scene of a medical emergency, or where an individual recorded has a “reasonable expectation of privacy.”
425.18.3 MEDIA
Access to recorded files will may be granted for the purposes of review to media personnel or the general public with permission of the Chief of Police, or his/her designee, subject to General Order R-23 and privacy protections indicated in this policy. Government Code section 6254(f), other applicable law and policy governing the release of public records, and subject to the review of the City Attorney’s Office.

425.19 COMPLIANCE WITH BMC 2.99 ACQUISITION AND USE OF SURVEILLANCE TECHNOLOGY
This policy shall comply at all times with the requirement of BMC 2.99 Acquisition and Use of Surveillance Technology.

425.20 TRAINING REQUIRED
Officers who are assigned BWCs must complete department-approved training in the proper use and maintenance of the devices before deploying to the field.

As part of a continual improvement process, regular review should be conducted by BPD staff of the training on this policy and the related use of BWCs under this policy. Information resulting from the outcomes of this review shall be incorporated into the City Manager's annual “Surveillance Technology Report” as required under BMC 2.99 Acquisition and Use of Surveillance Technology.

The Department, Police Review Commission and other City Departments shall maintain the confidentiality of Department sworn employee personnel records as required by state and local law. Failure to maintain the confidentiality of Department sworn employee personnel records, whether or not intentional, may subject individuals to civil penalties and discipline, up to and including termination of employment.
RESOLUTION NO. ##,###-N.S.

A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING THE SURVEILLANCE TECHNOLOGY REPORT, SURVEILLANCE ACQUISITION REPORT, AND SURVEILLANCE USE POLICY FOR AUTOMATIC LICENSE PLATE READERS, GPS TRACKERS, AND BODY WORN CAMERAS

WHEREAS, on March 27, 2018, the City Council adopted Ordinance 7,592-N.S., which is known as the Surveillance Technology Use and Community Safety Ordinance ("Ordinance"); and

WHEREAS, Section 2.99.050 of the Ordinance requires that the City Manager shall submit a Surveillance Acquisition Report and a proposed Surveillance Use Policy for each piece of technology governed by the Ordinance that had been possessed or used by the City prior to the effective date of the Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, Section 2.99.070 of the Ordinance requires that the City Manager must submit to the City Council a Surveillance Technology Report as defined by Section 2.99.020(2) of the Ordinance at the first regular City Council meeting in November; and

WHEREAS, the Surveillance Acquisition Reports and Surveillance Use Policies for Automatic License Plate Readers, GPS Trackers, and Body Worn Cameras satisfy the requirements of the Ordinance.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Berkeley:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 2.99.060, as it pertains to the use of Automatic License Plate Readers, GPS Trackers, and Body Worn Cameras, the City Council hereby finds and determines the following:

a. The benefits of using the technologies outweigh the costs;
b. The policies attached to this resolution safeguard civil liberties; and
c. No feasible alternatives exist with similar utility that will have a lesser impact on civil rights or liberties.

Section 2. The City Council hereby accepts the Surveillance Technology Reports, Surveillance Acquisition Reports, and Surveillance Use Policies for Automatic License Plate Readers, GPS Trackers, and Body Worn Cameras.